

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,523	11/25/2003	Eliezer Krausz	P-5436-US	5152
27130	7590 01/03/2005		EXAMINER	
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			BOCHNA, DAVID	
10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 100 NEW YORK, NY 10020		, 01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		F	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,523	KRAUSZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	David E. Bochna	3679	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/720,523

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "The present invention relates to" should be removed from the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bemis.

In regard to claim 1, Bemis discloses a pipe sealing clamp, the improvement being the attachment of the inner sleeve B' to the clamping band B by means of at least two metallic fasteners b3, the metallic fasteners that penetrate the flexible inner sleeve (see cross section shown in fig. 2) being arranged to contact the metallic pipes when the assembly is tightened, to form continuous electrical connection of the pipes.

In regard to claim 3, the metallic fasteners are rivets.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bemis in view of Newell. Bemis discloses a pipe sealing clamp as described above, but does not disclose that the inner sleeve is coupled to the clamp by bolts. Newell teaches that using bolts to secure an inner seal to the outer clamp is well known and common in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the rivets of Bemis with bolts, because they are well known equivalents, as demonstrated by Newell.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bemis does not disclose a flexible inner sleeve or metallic fasteners being arranged to contact metallic pipes when the assembly is tightened. However, Bemis discloses a rivet b3 that passes through both a clamp B and an inner sleeve B' as shown in cross section in fig. 2. The rivet would have to pass through the inner sleeve B' in order for the rivet to sandwich B' against B and hold the two components together. Because the rivet passes through both B and B' it would have to come into contact with a metallic tubes A. Inner sleeve B' is flexible as it bends to the contour of the inner surface of clamp B as it is tightened down by b' as the clamp goes from that shown in fig. 2 to that shown in fig. 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,523

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3679
December 27, 2004

Page 4